Voicing the Song of Music Therapy Cancer Support
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Abstract: The song this article sings is derived from findings of an arts-informed inquiry that explored the meaning of music therapy cancer support and conceives therapy as education-about-the-self. It is consistent with lifelong learning as this pertains to cancer survivors and is applicable to other groups of learners.

Cancer
A cancer diagnosis is one of the most feared and serious of life events that produces stress on individuals and families. Cancer disrupts social, physical and emotional well-being and results in a range of emotions, including anger, fear, sadness, guilt, embarrassment and shame. Invasive treatment procedures can be stressful, painful and may result in permanent body changes. Themes commonly experienced by cancer patients are fear of death and disease recurrence, problems related to long and short-term effects of treatment, changes in personal relationships and economic issues. Family and friends can become overwhelmed by the crisis. (Daste & Rose, 2005)

According to Svenaeus (2001), illness is best understood as unhomelike ways of being-in-the-world:

Human life (bios) suffers (pathe) from a basic homesickness that is let loose in illness. If this unhomeliness is taken as the essence of illness, the mission of health-care professionals must consequently be not only to cure diseases, but actually, through devoting attention to the being-in-the-world of the patient, also to open up possible paths back to homeliness. (p. 104)

Frank (1995) says that serious illness is a loss of the “destination and map” that had previously guided the person’s life. Once diagnosed, the cancer patient may never return to normal again but dwells forever in the remission society (Frank, 1991).

Problematizing the Mental Health Model of Psychosocial Cancer Care

According to Spiegel and Classen (2000), 50% of cancer patients experience stress that is serious enough to warrant a psychiatric diagnosis and a further 10% suffer severe maladjustments to cancer diagnosis and treatment and remain symptomatic for six years. Psychosocial treatment of cancer—referred to as psycho-oncology, psychosocial oncology or behavioural oncology—focuses on two major treatment areas. One is the interaction of cancer onset and progression with psychology, social issues and behaviour; the other pertains to effects experienced by patients, families, caretakers and professionals as a result of cancer (Holland, 1998). Both treatment foci share a theoretical orientation that is individualistic, decontextualized and deterministic where cancer is discussed as an objective disease entity rather than an illness uniquely experienced by people in various specific social locations and contexts.
The psychosocial oncology literature portrays a deficit model that blames patients for the emotional responses to their illness (Azarow et al., 2001; Butler, Koopman, Classen, & Spiegel, 1999; Classen et al., 2001; Giese-Davis et al., 2002; Goodwin et al., 1996; Hampton & Frombach, 2000; Koopman et al., 2002). There is no mention of the structural roots which underlie some clinical problems. For example, Cohen et al (2006) found that middle class depressed patients were almost twice as likely to respond to treatment as those who lived in poverty. These findings have implications in light of the financial burden of cancer.

Medical models of mental health tend to subordinate and pathologize people (Glasby & Beresford, 2006). Critique of the medical model of mental health care has been loudly voiced by psychiatric survivors (Burstow & Weitz, 1988). Criticism is voiced from within psychology (Maracek, 2002; Prilleltensky & Nelson, 2002; Sloan, 2000) and psychiatry (Bracken, 2003; Bracken & Thomas, 2001; Hopton, 2006; Pilgrim & Bentall, 1999), bolstered by the critical relational-cultural stance of feminist therapy models (Jordan & Hartling, 2002; Worell & Remer, 1996, 2003). This stance is not evident in conventional psychosocial oncology.

The blame-the-victim tenor of the individualistic adjustment and adaptation model of illness in psychosocial oncology studies is not appropriate for understanding the moral dilemmas and narrative reconstruction of identity that becomes the major psychological task of the cancer patient (Wilkinson & Kitzinger, 2000; Yaskowich & Stam, 2003). This medical treatment model is troubled by feminist standpoint theory of self-determination and empowerment (Sprague & Hayes, 2000) as this is applied to the cancer experience.

Trauma symptoms can be conceived as adaptive coping strategies (Burstow, 1992) to be explored for their personal meanings or as a means of achieving connection (Jordan & Hartling, 2002). There is no reason to doubt this is any different for cancer-related trauma. Burstow states that “trauma is properly understood as a series of responses to a concrete situation—not as symptoms or free-floating feelings or orientations—traumatic events and situations must be seen as concrete events within contexts” (Burstow, 2003, p. 1306). In addition to the trauma experienced by cancer patients resulting from their treatments, sources of trauma can be attributed to the stigma and fear imposed by the death-defying cultural discourse.

Cancer support and self-help groups provide a unique and needed function to counteract the deficit perspective of traditional cancer psychological therapies (Daste & Rose, 2005). The context of the self-help group is one way in which cancer patients express their dilemmas, narrate their stories and recover a “voice;” support groups are more prevalent for cancer patients than any other medically ill population (Yaskowich & Stam, 2003). Support groups offer a haven from a social world in which cancer patients feel misunderstood. Davison et al (2000) conclude this is because there is a strong relationship between social marginalization (embarrassment, disfigurement, stigma, life threat) and support group participation levels.

**Music Therapy Cancer Support**

Music therapy is the skilful use of music and musical elements by an accredited music therapist to promote, maintain, and restore mental, physical, emotional, and spiritual health. Music has nonverbal, creative, structural, and emotional qualities. These are used in the therapeutic relationship to facilitate contact, interaction, self-awareness, learning, self-expression, communication, and personal development. (Canadian Association for Music Therapy, www.musictherapy.ca)
The purpose of the brief, time-limited, closed music therapy support group (Rykov, 2002) is to provide psycho-spiritual existential support for adults through active participation in music and related creative experiences. These experiences include instrumental and vocal improvisation, music listening, song choice and singing, group and collective guided imagery and music, art processing of music-evoked imagery, psychodrama and optional journals. Self-expression through improvised music facilitates group cohesion and provides a playful, aesthetic context that fosters an atmosphere conducive to building trust, empathy and intimacy.

The Meaning of a Music Therapy Support Group for Adults who have Cancer

Essential themes that emerged from a hermeneutic-phenomenological arts-informed inquiry about an 8-session music therapy cancer support group pertained to themes related to the burden of cancer and themes related to the music therapy support group experience. Themes that emerged about cancer pertained to financial concerns and job loss, acceptance/rejection of the “new normal,” fear and isolation. Themes that emerged about the music therapy support group experience pertained to feeling better/calmed/uplifted, the importance of nonverbal, creative self-expression, experiencing control/validation/empowerment and connection/transcendence.

Theoretical Reflections

Personal Empowerment: Any illusion of control I thought I had in my life was destroyed when I received my cancer diagnosis. In music therapy the music is neither directed nor controlled, but created—like a life. This was very empowering. The music therapy support group fosters personal empowerment in a milieu informed by feminist practice models where the helping process is one of “shared power” and “power with,” and is “participant driven,” with the professional becoming a “facilitator” or resource rather than an omnipotent director (Parsons & Gutier Cox, 1998). Early in the life of the group the facilitator actively provides structure (Lieberman & Golant, 2002; Toseland & Rivas, 2005). As participants become increasingly familiar with the music materials and each other, the content and direction of the sessions is increasingly determined by them.

The Musical Space: It was a very sacred space that was created, I think....Beating my hands on the drum was like a resonant sound that wanted to come from within the very core of my being. Music is a metaphorical container for all human experience (Kenny, 1989, 2002). Consistent with Lakoff and Johnson’s (1999) theory of embodied cognition, Smiejsters (2005) theorizes that the music improvised in the music therapy clinical space is an analogy for intrapersonal and interpersonal experience that “sounds our inner being and our connection to the world” (p. 186).

Experiential Learning: I realized just how scared I was to sing with people.... And then I found by the second time I sang and didn’t care if I was going off key and so I didn’t go off key and I was pretty impressed. Music therapy participants are not trained musicians and many have well-entrenched performance anxieties. It is through experiencing music-making in a safe and supported environment that they can overcome fears and claim their musicality. It is by learning through doing (Hunt, 1987; Kolb, 1984) that they come to know they are, indeed, musical by virtue of their human beingness.

Sounding the Unsayable: There was so much more of unspoken language with music ... that we can only talk about with music. Music was a tool we used to “explain” our feelings. Music is ineffable. The great dancer and dance teacher, Isadora Duncan
(1955), claimed that if she could “say it,” she wouldn’t have to dance it. Non-verbal means of self-expression enable communication of tacit knowings that are beyond expression by verbal language alone (Collins, 2001; Polanyi, 1958/1962, 1983/1966).

Therapy as Education-About-the-Self

If, as Knowles (1980) states, “the primary and immediate mission of adult education … is to help individuals satisfy their needs and achieve their goals” (p. 23), this music therapy support group fails to cure anyone of their cancer. Rather, music therapy conceived as education-about-the-self describes experiential learning whereby individuals come to know themselves as the musical people they inherently are (Blacking, 1973; Byron, 1995; Nettl, 2004). This, in the face of existential uncertainty, is experienced as affirmation that fosters resilience and empowerment.

The interweaving of therapy and education is not new. If helping people learn is the basic mandate of the helping professions, “then every therapist is a teacher, and every teacher is to some degree a therapist” (Combs, Avila, & Purkey, 1978, 1971, p. 123). The individualistic adjustment and adaptation model of illness generally expressed in the psychosocial oncology literature perceives coping to be a function of the patient's achievement and/or performance. Perceiving therapy clients as learners and therapy as education-about-the-self expands the parameters of conventional cancer coping that is consistent with the empowerment perspective (Breton, 2004) of mutual aid engendered by self-help groups. This model can be applied to other learners participating in music therapy, both individually and in groups.
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Music therapy, or, simply just listening to music, has many benefits for those living with cancer. Learn what research shows and how to get started. What do we know about music therapy for cancer patients? We know that music has a large effect on us in general. It can make us smile when we're feeling stressed. It can take us out of a robotic "do" mode and put us in touch with our "feeling side." But what about people living with cancer? Do studies tell us what our hearts do—that music can make a difference? Research hasn't disappointed and seems to say that the sound of music really does help people climb the mountains we call cancer treatment. It can even cause our hearts to beat—not like a bird—but in health. Though some differentiate therapeutic music listening alone as a therapy from clinical music therapy, music listening is listed as one of the many techniques used in music therapy (American Music Therapy Association, 2015). The researchers found that children with autism who played or sang songs composed or chosen by the therapist showed improved verbal and gestural skills (Gold, Wigram, & Elefant, 2006). The explanation given for these preferences was that cancer patients might not have the energy needed to participate in active music engagement, and that younger patients may be more familiar with music listening since it is a more common practice than music making is.