

Bernard Susser
Doshisha Womens Junior College

Thomas N. Robb
Kyoto Sangyo University

This article surveys the literature on extensive reading and establishes a working definition of extensive reading as a language teaching/learning procedure. It explores the main issues in extensive reading, including the role of graded readers and the transfer of L1 reading ability. A model of extensive reading is described, based on Richards and Rodgers' (1982) definition of "procedure." ([Japanese abstract here](#))

I. Introduction

Reading has been the skill most emphasized in traditional FL teaching, and even today is the mainstay of EFL instruction in many countries. In Japan, for example, English instruction at the university level is usually the "intensive reading procedure," which implies close study of short passages, including syntactic, semantic, and lexical analyses and translation into the L1 to study meaning. This, as Alderson and Urquhart (1984) have argued, is not a reading but a language lesson:

Such a pedagogic practice--of focusing on the language of a text--may be justified as a language lesson, but it may very well be counterproductive as a *reading* lesson. Often what is known as "intensive reading" (as traditionally opposed to "extensive reading") is actually not reading at all: the lesson consists of a series of language points, using texts as points of departure. Reading texts, in other words, are sources of language exercises, rather than reading exercises. (pp. 246-247)

This view is supported by Brumfit (1984, p. 83), Hyland (1990, p. 14), Johns and Davies (1983, p. 2ff), and Yorio (1985, p. 157). See Greenwood (1988, pp. 5-9) for a dissenting view. Of course, no one would deny that language training is an essential part of any FL curriculum. It is just that this kind of lesson is not a *reading* lesson in the strict sense.

Today, FL/ESL/EFL reading instruction is moving increasingly, in Haas and Flower's phrase (1988, p. 169), from teaching texts to teaching readers. Specifically, we now teach learners reading skills/strategies for understanding such elements as content, textual features, rhetorical elements, and cultural background. "Skills building" emphasizes skills/strategies for text comprehension (Hamp-Lyons, 1985, p. 367; Hamp-Lyons and Proulx, 1982, p. 9; Mikulecky, 1985; Pakenham, 1984, p. 149). This has been the mainstay of L1 reading instruction in the United States, and ESL/EFL reading textbooks with words like "skills" or "strategies" in their titles are now common. Further, there has been much research on skills-based teaching procedures, including basic skills (finding the main idea, skimming, inferencing) and advanced skills (schema-building, metacognitive skills). The interested reader is referred to the bibliographies in Carrell, Devine, and Eskey, 1988; Devine, Carrell, and Eskey, 1987; and the *Annual Summary of Investigations Relating to Reading*, published by the International Reading Association.

A serious problem is that these so-called reading comprehension skills do not exist, or, as Rosenshine (1980) more cautiously states, "there is simply no clear evidence to support the naming of discrete skills in reading comprehension" (p. 552). Alderson and Urquhart repeated this in 1984 (p. xvii), and Alderson stated it again at TESOL '88 (1988) (see also Alderson & Lukmani, 1989; Berkoff, 1979, p. 97; Cooper, 1987, pp. 76-77; and Lee & Musumeci, 1988, pp. 175, 180). Barnett found that teaching FL students reading strategies "did not significantly improve their reading comprehension" (1988, p. 157). She calls these results "confusing," but they are consistent with the idea that skills do not exist.

If it is not meaningful to talk about discrete reading skills (and to our knowledge no one has published a refutation of Rosenshine's assertion), then what are researchers (e.g., Hosenfeld et al., 1981) and textbook authors talking about when they use the terms "skills" and "strategies" Gardner (1978) has suggested that these "skills" are better regarded as activities involving comprehension than as categories of abilities" (p. 72). In other words, when reading, we engage in activities such as recalling word meanings, inferring, drawing conclusions, and so on, but these are all aspects of the act of comprehending (i.e., reading). They cannot be separated into discrete skills, either statistically or by task-specific testing. Whatever problems there might be with "intensive reading" and "skills building" as procedures for teaching reading, they represent the mainstream of FL/ESL/EFL reading instruction today. The "extensive reading procedure," on the other hand, while often used, has attracted comparatively little research interest. As a result, we know little about either its pedagogical aspects or its effectiveness. In this paper we review the literature on extensive reading, examine its nature as a procedure for teaching ESL/EFL reading, and present a model for an extensive reading component of an ESL/EFL curriculum. While most of the specific examples are drawn from the EFL situation in Japan, but the argument applies generally.

2. The Extensive Reading Procedure

2.1 Background to Extensive Reading

There is a large body of research on L1 extensive reading, called "pleasure reading," "sustained silent reading" [SSR], or "uninterrupted

sustained silent reading" [USSR] (see research cited in Krashen, 1985, p. 91; Krashen, 1988; Vaughan, 1982, p. 69). This corresponds more or less to FL/ESL/EFL extensive reading (see Bamford, 1987; Dubin & Olshtain, 1977, pp. 77ff; Grellet, 1981, p. 4; Krashen, 1982, pp. 164-167, 1985, pp. 89-94; Olshtain, 1976, pp. 39ff). However, in a survey of the literature on FL extensive reading, Brumfit (1978) noted: (a) the role of the extensive reader in the curriculum has been surprisingly little studied (p. 178); and (b) "the discussion of teaching methods is conducted at a low theoretical level if it is conducted at all" (p. 179). MacLean's (1985) bibliography of reading in a second or foreign language lists only four items on extensive reading. Zvetina's (1987) survey of research on L2 reading does not even mention extensive reading. None of the 99 items in ERIC computer search #200, "Reading Strategies in Second Languages" (October 1987) is about extensive reading. Swaffar's (1988) survey of FL reading research mentions only one article on extensive reading out of 221 items. Oddly enough, one conclusion Swaffar reaches after examining 220 items not about extensive reading is that teachers in the future "may well be asking students to do extensive reading on a longer text or in a particular field of study" (p. 141).

What literature there is on extensive reading is of limited value. Most general works on FL reading that discuss extensive reading do so in terms of book selection and course administration. Some even have detailed drawings showing how to display books (Nuttall, 1982, pp. 175ff; see also Bright & McGregor, 1970, pp. 65-80). More useful are the articles and reports on the pedagogical aspects of extensive reading (e.g., Boys, 1987; Hamrick, 1989; Kalb, 1986; Lipp, 1988; Lupardus, 1987; Marbe, 1979; Susser & Robb, 1989; Tangitau, 1973), including at least *three* at JALT '89 (Fox, 1989; Mason, 1989; Morimoto, 1989).

Only a few experimental studies of FL extensive reading exist: Elley and Mangubhai (1983); Hafiz and Tudor (1989) (see also Tudor & Hafiz, 1988, 1989; Hafiz and Tudor 1990; Hamp-Lyons 1983; Laufer-Dvorkin, 1981; Mason, 1987, forthcoming; Petrimoulx, 1988; Robb & Susser, 1989; and Saragi et al., 1978). These studies are limited for two reasons: First, methodological problems make many of their results worthless. Hafiz and Tudor (1990), for instance, report "significant post-treatment gains" (p. 36) for students who read extensively, but the gain could be the result solely of the 90 additional hours of exposure to English that the experimental group received, rather than from the extensive reading procedure itself. A second problem with studies on extensive reading is that the theoretical problems which underlie the extensive reading procedure--the definition of extensive reading, the nature of the materials to be read, and the transfer of L1 reading ability--have not been resolved.

2.2 Definition of Extensive Reading

Our working definition of "extensive reading" as a language teaching/learning procedure is that it is reading (a) of large quantities of material or long texts; (b) for global or general understanding; (c) with the intention of obtaining pleasure from the text. Further, because (d) reading is individualized, with students choosing the books they want to read, (e) the books are not discussed in class. (Based on Bamford, 1984a, pp. 4; Bamford, 1987; Barnett, 1989, p. 167; Brumfit, 1984, p. 84; Dawes, 1979; Dubin & Olshtain, 1977, pp. 77ff; Eskey, 1973, p. 173; Grellet, 1981, p. 4; Hedge, 1985, pp. vii, 68, 70; Krashen, 1982, pp. 164-167; Krashen & Terrell, 1983, p. 134; Norris, 1975, p. 208; Olshtain, 1976, pp. 39ff; Rivers, 1981, pp. 37, 278; Thompson, 1984, p. 21.)

Large quantities are essential for this procedure to be "extensive," but there is no agreement on how much "extensive" is, as the following examples show: (a) thirty pages an hour (Hill and Thomas, 1988, p. 50); (b) three pages an hour (Matsumura, 1987, p. 120); (c) an hour per evening (Krashen, 1981, p. 105); (d) five hours by a specified date (Bowen, Madsen and Hilferty, 1985, p. 239); (e) an hour of extensive for every hour of intensive (Williams, 1986, p. 44); (f) one page per day and three pages per day during summer vacation (for Japanese high school students) (Matsumura, 1987, p. 179); (g) thirty minutes per day for five stories, poems, or essays per week (Dalle, 1988, p. 25); (h) at a rate of at least 200 words per minute and up to 250 words or more (Hill, 1986, p. 16); (i) at least 50 pages per week (Paulston and Bruder, 1976, p.202); (j) a chapter per week (Hansen, 1985, p. 161); (k) two hours per week of texts 10-20 pages in length (Newmark, 1971, p. 16); (l) one reader per week (Stoller, 1986, p. 65; Eskey, 1973, p. 176; Brumfit, 1979, cited in Bamford, 1984d, p. 260); (m) at least two books a week (Carroll, 1972, p. 180); (n) 60 hours over 3 months (Hafiz and Tudor, 1989, p. 7); (o) a minimum of 36 simplified readers per year (Hill, 1983); (p) 60 books a year (Bright & McGregor, 1970, p. 69); (q) a novel (Ferris, Kiyochi, and Kowal, 1988).

This variety suggests that quantity of reading is not an absolute number of hours or pages but depends on teacher and student perceptions of how extensive reading differs from other reading classes; this will vary according to type of program, level, and other variables. In an EFL situation such as Japan, a typical university "intensive reading" class might "read" fewer than 100 pages a year (in one 90-minute class weekly for 26 weeks). These students and teachers would perceive 1,000 pages as extensive. Of course, quantity by itself does not make the extensive reading procedure. It has specific techniques, practices, and activities (described below). Consequently, there is no hard and fast rule for the amount of reading to be done extensively, but a good rule of thumb is offered by Light (1970): the assignments should be of sufficient length "so that neither teacher nor pupils will fall for the temptation to talk them through in class" (p. 123).

By aiming at general comprehension, this procedure reduces both teacher demands on the student and student demands on the text to attain the objectives of fluency and speed as well as comprehension. Broughton et al.'s comment that extensive reading must imply a "relatively low degree of understanding" (1978, p. 92) must be taken in context: we want students to achieve a degree of understanding sufficient for pleasure reading. If the student finds the book too difficult to enjoy, the extensive reading procedure requires that the book be changed, and not that the student be made to study it more closely. The level of global understanding required varies with the student's language proficiency, the nature of the text, and other factors.

The third aspect, pleasure, may seem dubious, because, after all, the reading is an assignment, and most of us do not take pleasure in assignments. However, pleasure, like quantity, is relative. The procedure assumes that students will enjoy reading books that they have chosen on topics of interest to them more than they will enjoy assigned readings from a reader. If they have chosen correctly, the book should be easy to read for general understanding. Nell (1988), in his interesting study of the psychology of pleasure reading, argues

(anecdotally) that one cannot read for pleasure in a foreign language before mastering it (p. 93), but any EFL reading teacher can supply anecdotal counter-evidence. Further, even learners who are far from fluent derive pleasure from the very experience of reading a book in a foreign language. Students in extensive reading courses regularly comment on their joy at having finished whole books in the target language.

A few other points on the definition of extensive reading should be clarified. Some writers (e.g., Field, 1985, p. 177; Munby, 1979, p. 143) see extensive reading as just another reading subskill such as skimming or scanning. This confuses the whole with its parts. We see extensive reading as a teaching/learning procedure, not a reading subskill. Further, the implication in many works that extensive reading is *by definition* the reading of graded readers (e.g., Bamford, 1984b, p. 3; 1987; Bright & McGregor, 1970, p. 65; Broughton et al., 1978, p. 110; Dubin & Olshtain, 1977, pp. 77ff; Livingstone et al., 1987, pp. 5-6; Stoller, 1986, p. 65) has no basis in theory or practice. There is no reason extensive reading should be confined to graded materials.

3. Issues in Extensive Reading

3.1 Graded Readers

Many, perhaps most, of the TESOL specialists who recommend extensive reading do so on the assumption that the students will be reading graded readers. In Japan, for example, both academics (Bamford, 1984b, 1984c, 1987; Kitao & Shimatani, 1988) and publishers' representatives (C. Thompson, 1984; M. Thompson, 1988; Tunnacliffe, 1983) have argued that reading can be studied more effectively and enjoyably when students use easy material that they can understand and enjoy, instead of being forced to decode and translate texts hopelessly beyond their abilities.

Exactly what are graded readers? Simensen (1987, pp. 42-43) distinguishes three types of graded reader: (a) authentic readers, not written for pedagogic purposes; (b) pedagogic readers, specially written for EFL/ESL students; and (c) adapted readers, which have been adapted from authentic texts. Strictly speaking, materials in her first category are "graded" after the fact; they include L1 children's literature and books for young people, known as high interest low vocabulary books" (the ERIC descriptor), "young adult literature" (Reed, 1985), High interest--easy reading (Matthews, 1988), or "easy read books" (Abrahamson and Conlon, 1988, p. 686). Hill and Thomas (1988, p. 44) define a graded reader as a book "written to a grading scheme," whether it is a simplified version of a previously written work or an original work written in simple language.

Although graded readers are widely used, research has pointed out some important problems with them. No one expects a simplified 70-page version of *War and Peace* to convey the richness and subtlety of the original, but we do expect that the graded version will be written in correct English. However, there are many examples of graded readers that are written in poor English or are empty of content (Davison, 1986, pp. 20-21; Wallace, 1988, pp. 153-154). More importantly, the process of simplification often leaves writing that is more difficult to understand than the original, because, for example, cohesion, coherence, and discourse structure are impaired (Anderson & Armbruster, 1986, pp. 154ff; Beck & McKeown, 1986, pp. 122ff; Davies & Widdowson, 1974, pp. 176ff; Harrison, 1980, pp. 134ff; Hedge, 1985, pp. 20-21; Honeyfield, 1977, pp. 434ff; Widdowson, 1978, pp. 88-89; Yorio, 1985, p. 160. Kelly [1969, pp. 140-42] shows that such objections have a long history, and Carrel [1987] surveys the role of readability formulas in creating this situation).

Some reading experts argue that denying FL learners access to complicated prose will prevent them from ever learning to comprehend it. Lautamatti (1978) says that we cannot use graded readers because

the reading process relies on a selective use of all possible levels of the text, and is based on the maximum use of minimum clues [so] it is only by giving the student material containing all the features naturally occurring in informative texts, that we can make it possible for him to learn to take advantage of these. (p. 104)

(See also Blau, 1982, p. 525; Dunning, 1988; Grellet, 1981, pp. 7-8; Hirvela, 1988; Krashen, 1985, p. 113, n. 9; Shook, 1977; Swaffar, 1985, p. 17; van Naerssen, 1985, p. 6.)

The literature presents many arguments for the use of authentic materials in teaching FL reading (e.g., Allen et al., 1988, p. 163, 170-71; Byrnes, 1987, p. 183), including some evidence that learners prefer them to commercial materials (Henner-Stanchina, 1985, p. 92). However, authenticity is not easy to pin down. Presumably graded readers, being written for pedagogic purposes, are not "authentic," and yet Simensen's first category of graded reader is "authentic readers." Even if we ignore this, we still must face the problem posed by Widdowson (1979), that authenticity does not reside in texts but is "a quality which is bestowed upon them" (p. 165). The "high interest low vocabulary books" correspond to Widdowson's "simple accounts": "genuine instance[s] of discourse, designed to meet a communicative purpose..." (Widdowson, 1978, p. 89; see also Davies, 1984, pp. 181ff). For example, when students read fiction as fiction for pleasure, it is not only genuine discourse but also authentic in Widdowson's terms (1979, p. 166; see also Grellet, 1981, pp. 7-8; van Naerssen, 1985, p. 6). In other words, reading is authentic when students read books for the purpose for which they were written rather than for language study.

3.2 Transfer of L1 Ability

Extensive reading as a teaching procedure cannot be considered without reference to the transfer of L1 reading ability. So far, the only explanation of why extensive reading is effective is that it replicates the process by which we learn to read in our native language, that is, "prolonged practice" (Nell, 1988, p. 84) or learning "to read by reading" (Smith, 1985, p. 88). If so, then an understanding of how and how much L1 reading ability transfers to L2 would help us build a model of extensive reading.

Research of the 1970s assumed that L1 reading ability transferred to L2. This view is known as the "Reading Universals Hypothesis"

(Goodman, 1971, pp. 140-42). Then, from the late 1970s, Clarke (1979; 1980, p. 206) and others argued that good L1 readers who were weak in L2 suffered a "short circuit" that reverted them to poor reading strategies. This topic is now being researched: Balmitz (1985, pp. 71-74) and Grove (1981, pp. 13-15) survey early studies. Alderson (1984) gives a thorough critique of previous work, Devine (1987, pp. 75-77; 1988b, p. 260ff) brings the issue up to date, and Roller (1988, pp. 306-300) discusses transfer in bilingual situations. Two studies too recent to be included in these surveys, both on native speakers of Hebrew reading English, epitomize the problem: Statman (1987) offers strong evidence that reading strategies are not transferable, and Sarig (1987) found just the opposite, that "reading processes for the first languages do appear to transfer to the foreign language" (p. 118). Another study on speakers of Hebrew learning English offers evidence that instruction on reading skills in the second language improves reading comprehension in L1 (Levine and Reves, 1985). Two recent studies on Japanese students reading English are cautious: both Yamazaki and Yoshizawa (1989) and Perkins et al. (1989) found moderate transfer of skills in subjects of higher L2 proficiency. To summarize, researchers have reached the unsurprising conclusion that "second language readers must reach a level of general language competence in order to read successfully in the target language" (Devine, 1988b, p. 269), but there is no hard evidence for what (or where) this level, "the 'threshold of linguistic competence' necessary for successful L2 reading" (Devine, 1988b, p. 272), may be. Most researchers agree that this level will vary for individuals and for tasks (ibid., p. 272). L2 reading teachers should note that readers can compensate somewhat for low language proficiency with well-developed schemata (Hudson, 1988), or a "meaning-centered approach to reading" (Devine, 1988a, p. 136; 1988c). Conversely, readers with high language proficiency might read poorly if they lack these.

There is an additional problem in that some cultures have no (L1) Literary tradition or their tradition of what reading is supposed to be is different from what we posit for English. Hamp-Lyons (1983, pp. 304, 307) found negative transfer from L1 reading style because her Malaysian students had been educated to understand every word in a text, and Marbe (1979, p. 39) says that native reading strategies of Hebrew and Arabic speakers are different from what English teachers would like (see also Berman 1984, p. 142). Field (1985) found that her Chinese students "use reading strategies and skills just like the ones used by native English speakers when reading in their own language" but, because of "cultural assumptions," they said that it was "not even thinkable" (p. 175) to use such strategies for reading in English. On the other hand, Block (1986) found that "strategy use is a stable phenomenon which is not tied to specific language features." In other words, the "knowledge of the reading process" (p. 485) was brought from first to second language. Some of her subjects were Chinese.

Optimal processing strategies may vary among languages because of syntactical differences between L1 and L2. Swaffar (1988) points out that function words in German "may affect comprehension in a totally different way than in English" (p. 135). In her study of Hispanic ESL readers, Miramontes (1987) found that "many skills from reading in the first language transfer to the second language" (p. 147), but that Spanish readers use decoding strategies significantly more for reading both Spanish and English, compared with English readers, suggesting that comprehension "may be more closely tied to different strategies depending on the language being read" (p. 146). Readers of languages such as Japanese and Korean "must pay more attention to the postpositions than to word order" (Taylor & Taylor, 1983, p. 273), a strategy that will cause problems in English.

Another problem in processing a foreign language text is the reader's lack of the formal schemata, "the reader's background knowledge of and experience with textual organization" (Carrell, 1985, p. 727), required to read in a foreign language (see Carrell, 1985, pp. 727-734; Carrell and Eisterhold, 1983, pp. 556-562). Gremmo (1985) points out that French scientists are confused by specialized texts in English because "the conceptual structure of such works is different in the two languages" (p. 90). Further, even typographic layout (subtitles, headings, and indentation) is so different between French and English as to cause problems for French readers.

Tanaka (1985) offers the interesting, if unsubstantiated, idea that the basic units of ideas in Japanese and English are the sentence and paragraph respectively. Japanese students of English therefore "should be instructed in top-to-down [sic] mental processing of reading which operates with contextual and metalinguistic knowledge, since it may be different in Japanese and English, and may have eluded their attention in learning to read Japanese" (p. 99). Hinds (1980) makes a similar point, arguing that Japanese expository prose is structured differently from English. Carrell (1985) has shown that teaching the specific organization of texts improves ESL students' reading comprehension.

Concerning reading Japanese as a first language, it is generally believed that "the inability to read and write is virtually absent in Japan" (Vogel, 1980, p. 161), and that the entire school system operates as if dyslexia does not exist" (Rohlen, 1983, pp. 15-16; see also Bettelheim & Zelan, 1981, p. 39; Taylor & Taylor, 1983, pp. 60-61). Unger (1987, p. 83ff) presents data and arguments that seriously undermine these assumptions (see also Stevenson, 1986, p. 233; Neustupny, 1987, pp. 136-137). Research is needed to compare reading ability in L1 (Japanese) and L2 (English).

To summarize, we can say only that there is some evidence for some transfer of reading ability from L1 to L2. More work needs to be done, with careful attention to contrastive analysis of reading in native and target languages. The results should contribute to our understanding of extensive reading.

4. The Extensive Reading Procedure in Practice

Although the literature is inconclusive or contradictory on many points, extensive reading in practice is simple enough. Here we describe the procedure as implemented for English majors in a Japanese university. Richards and Rodgers (1982) define "procedure" as the "techniques, practices, and activities that operate in teaching and learning a language according to a particular method" (p. 163). This is described in terms of (a) "techniques and tactics used by teachers"; (b) "exercises and practice activities"; and (c) "resources in terms of time, space, and equipment" (p. 165). Our description follows this definition.

4.1 Techniques and Tactics

The teacher's role in the extensive reading procedure is to encourage and help the students with their reading, by conferences (the "reader interview" is described in Hedge, 1985, p. 95) during or after class time, and by checking and commenting on written summaries that students do of their reading (see Susser & Robb, 1989). Oral or written summaries give students an opportunity to demonstrate that they are, in fact, doing their reading. They also allow the teacher to determine if students are understanding their books at an acceptable level. If not, the teacher's task is to guide them to more appropriate books.

Frequent, albeit cursory, review of students' summaries is important particularly at the beginning of the course because many students have not had training in summary writing. This review can be done by the teacher circulating among the students while they are engaged in independent activities, or by periodic collection of notebooks for inspection at the instructor's leisure. Additionally, record sheets maintained by the students allow both teacher and students to keep track of reading progress.

4.2 Exercises and Practice Activities

The students' main task is reading, but writing summaries is valuable not only to provide a means for teachers to check comprehension, but because the writing of summaries improves comprehension (Champeau de Lopez, 1989; Smith, 1988, p. 277). In addition, this practice helps students improve their writing ability (Robb & Susser, 1989). Another task that can be adapted for extensive reading is the "standard exercise," a set of open-ended questions that can be designed to suit most books available to students in a course (Scott et al., 1984).

Students also have some responsibility for determining the appropriateness and comprehensibility of the books they are reading. One means of doing this is checking dictionary use: too much *necessary* use shows that the book is too difficult. Too much *unnecessary* use shows that the student's approach is not appropriate for global reading.

4.3 Resources

The primary resources required are a collection of books and magazines, and a place to house them. Without such resources, students must purchase their own books or use public libraries, often difficult in the EFL situation. Financial or logistic problems are the main obstacles to implementing the extensive reading procedure. Hence Bearne's (1988) question: "How many secondary or higher education language teachers still run a class library (apart from some enlightened souls engaged in teaching EFL)?" (p. 173). "Enlightenment" comes at a price: spending free time rooting in used book stores, Lugging cartons of books to and from class, even plundering your own precious Judy Blume and Isaac Asimov collections.

Merely providing books is not sufficient. The emphasis in extensive reading is on quantity, so some standard unit of amount is useful for students to measure their own progress, and for teachers to compare students and to assign grades. Because difficulty, format, type size, and number and size of illustrations vary widely, we use the "weighted page" as a standard unit. (See Susser & Robb, 1989, for a fuller discussion.)

The ideal collection will contain books, magazines, and other materials that match students' interests and abilities. Because of the varying quality of graded (not to mention ungraded) books, and the elusive nature of authenticity, teachers are advised to build varied collections that include graded materials, children's literature, high interest-low vocabulary books, Literature for young readers, and popular writing. Several guides to building class libraries and using this literature have been published (e.g., Brown, 1988; New York Public Library, 1989; Reed, 1985; Ryder et al., 1989). In general, popular works rather than classics are recommended: "love, sex, violence, adventure, and fantasy," as Dubin and Olshtain put it (1977, pp. 196-198; see also Hedge, 1985, pp. 91-92; Krashen, 1985, p. 109; Murphy, 1987; Nuttall, 1982, pp. 29-30; Rivers, 1981, p. 279). In any case, a poor or inappropriate book is not the disaster it would be in a translation or skills-building course because in the extensive reading procedure reading is individualized: if a book proves to be uninteresting or too difficult, the student simply abandons it for another. In other words, readability or comprehensibility is an element of the lesson rather than a precondition, and is determined by the techniques of this procedure.

5. Conclusion

The purpose of this article has been to clarify what the extensive reading procedure is and to describe how it might be used in EFL/ESL reading instruction. Readers must have been struck, as we were, by how contradictory, inconclusive, and generally unhelpful the research on this topic is. Although a general critique is beyond the scope of this paper, it might be useful to suggest why this is so.

First, of course, much of this "research" should not have been published or presented in the first place (see Weintraub, 1988, pp. v-vi, and Dorkin, 1987, pp. 271-272 for a description of a classic example). However, even "good," or at least "well-intentioned" research in this field is not reproducible and yields results that apply only to specific cases and are not applicable beyond the conditions under which they were obtained. A final reason published research has not been helpful is that some theoretical concepts underlying it are themselves dubious. What can we make of the results of studies based on the Input Hypothesis, now under attack (see, e.g., Gregg, 1984, 1986, 1987, forthcoming; Homer, 1987), or what can we do with studies on metacognitive skills if metacognition is itself in doubt (Malcolm, 1977, p. 169, cited in Hagge, 1987, pp. 104-105)?

In any case, we believe on the grounds of experience that there are good reasons for using the extensive reading procedure much more than it is being used today. One could argue that students "learn to read by reading" (Smith, 1985, p. 88) and that "comprehension will take care of itself" (Pearson, cited in Cooper, 1987, p. 77). In other words, students with a certain level of ability in English can learn to read by extensive reading alone. Experiments have shown (if not conclusively) that reading ability can improve as much with extensive reading as with skills training (Robb & Susser, 1989). At present, we cannot claim that extensive reading is sufficient for most ESL/EFL

students to learn to read English. Most likely, skills/strategies training is also necessary. However, we are arguing here that current reading instruction centering on skills/strategies training also is not sufficient. As Jolley (1985) points out,

Because students do not spontaneously apply the skills presented in skill lessons, instruction and activities to encourage the development of and automatic use of comprehension skills must be incorporated into daily instruction. (p. 2)

The extensive reading procedure comprises just this kind of activity. In the EFL situation in particular, students do not have much opportunity to use English outside of class. Lengthy assignments of reading easy books will increase exposure to the target language greatly, probably much more than translation or skills assignments, which in any case involve much mental effort in the native language. In addition, extensive reading provides an excellent means of building schema. With this procedure, teachers can expect that their students will come to read English not only skillfully, but with pleasure as well.

We would like to thank the following persons for their helpful comments on earlier drives of this paper: Andrew Cohen, S. Kathleen Kitao, David Peaty, Steven Ross, and Vivian Zamel. Part of this research was presented at TESOL '88 (Chicago, March 7-12, 1988) and JALT '88 Kobe, October 8-10, 1988).

Bernard Susser is a professor at Doshisha Women's Junior College. His publications and presentations have been on business communication, CACI, and communicative activities.

Thomas N. Robb, an associate professor at Kyoto Sangyo University, is former president (1980-81) and executive secretary (1982-89) of the Japan Association of Language Teachers. His publications and presentations have been on composition, pronunciation, reading, and classroom speaking tasks.

References

- Abrahamson, R.F., & Conlon, A. (1988). Books for adolescents. *Journal of Reading*, 31, 686-690.
- Alderson, J.C. (1984). Reading in a Foreign Language: A reading problem or a language problem? In J.C. Alderson & A.H. Urquhart (Eds.), *Reading in a Foreign Language* (pp. 1-24). New York: Longman.
- Alderson, J.C. (1988, March). Testing reading comprehension skills. Paper presented at TESOL '88 Convention, Chicago.
- Alderson, J.C., & Lukmani, Y. (1989). Cognition and reading: Cognitive levels as embodied in test questions. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 5, 253-270.
- Alderson, J.C., & Urquhart, A.H. (1984). Postscript on Hosenfeld. In J.C. Alderson & A.H. Urquhart (Eds.), *Reading in a Foreign Language* (pp. 245-249). New York: Longman.
- Allen, E.D., Bernhardt, E.B., Berry, M.T., & Demel, M. (1988). Comprehension and text genre: An analysis of secondary school foreign language readers. *The Modern Language Journal*, 72, 163-172.
- Anderson, T.N., & Armbruster, B.B. (1986). Readable textbooks, or selecting a textbook is not like buying a pair of shoes. In J. Orasanu (Ed.), *Reading comprehension: From research to practice* (pp. 151-162). Hillsdale NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum
- Bamford, J. (1984a). Review of "The importance of extensive reading in language learning," a presentation by Damien Tunnacliffe given at JALT '83. *The Language Teacher*, 8(1), 4-5.
- Bamford, J. (1984b). Extensive reading with graded readers. *The Language Teacher*, 8(4), 3-14.
- Bamford, J. (1984c). Extensive readers: Best hits EFL. *The Language Teacher*, 8(5), 7-13; 8(6), 20-25.
- Bamford, J. (1984d). Extensive reading by means of graded readers. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 2, 218-60.
- Bamford, J. (1987, June). Extensive reading using graded readers...and beyond. Presentation given at JALT Osaka chapter meeting, Osaka, Japan .
- Barnett, M.A. (1988). Reading through context: How real and perceived strategy use affects L2 comprehension. *The Modern language Journal*, 72, 150-162.
- Barnett, M.A. (1989). *More than meets the eye: Foreign language learner reading: Theory and practice*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall Regents.
- Barnitz, J.G. (1985). *Reading development of nonnative speakers of English*. Orlando, FL: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Bearne, C. (1988). Readers and 'readers': Foreign language reading in 18+ learners. Russian as a case study and some strategies. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 5, 163-179.
- Beck, I.L., & McKeown, M.G. (1986). Instructional research in reading: a retrospective. In J. Orasanu (Ed.), *Reading comprehension: From research to practice* (pp. 113-134). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Berkoff, N.A. (1979). Reading skills in extended discourse in English as a foreign language. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 2, 95-107.

- Berman, R.A. (1984). Syntactic components of the foreign language reading process. In C.J.. Alderson & A.H. Urquhart (Eds.), *Reading in a Foreign Language* (pp. 139-156). New York: Longman.
- Bettleheim, B., & Zelan, K. (1981). *On learning to read: The child's fascination with meaning*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Blau, E.K. (1982). The effect of syntax on readability for ESL students in Puerto Rico. *TESOL Quarterly*, 16, 517-528.
- Block, E. (1986). The comprehension strategies of second language readers. *TESOL Quarterly*, 20, 463-494.
- Bowen, J.D., Madsen, H., & Hilferty, A. (1985). *TESOL techniques and procedures*. Cambridge MA: Newbury House.
- Boys, T. (1987, November). Reading English without "translation." Paper presented at JALT '87 Conference, Tokyo.
- Bright, J.A., & McGregor, G.P. (1970). *Teaching English as a second language*. London: Longman.
- Broughton, G., Brumfit, C., Flavell, R., Hill, P., & Pincas, A. (1978). *Teaching English as a foreign language*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Brown, D.S. (1988). *A world of books: An annotated reading list for ESL/EFL students*. Washington, DC: TESOL.
- Brumfit, C. J. (1978). The teaching of advanced reading skills in foreign languages, with particular reference to English as a foreign language. In V. Kinsella (Ed.), *Language teaching and linguistics: Surveys* (pp. 173-184). (Centre for Information on Language Teaching and Research and English Teaching Information Centre of the British Council. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brumfit, C.J. (1979). *Readers for foreign language learners of English* (ETIC Information Guide 7). London: The British Council.
- Brumfit, C. (1984). *Communicative methodology in language teaching: The roles of fluency and accuracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Byrnes, H. (1987). Getting a better reading: Initiatives in foreign language reading instruction. In S.J. Savignon & M.S. Berns (Eds.), *Initiatives in communicative language teaching 11* (pp. 171-203). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Carrell, P.L. (1985). Facilitating ESL reading by teaching text structure. *TESOL Quarterly*, 19, 727-752.
- Carrell, P.L. & Eisterhold, J.C. (1983). Schema theory and ESL reading pedagogy. *TESOL Quarterly*, 17, 553-573.
- Carrell, P.L. (1987). Readability in ESL. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 4, 21-40. Carrell, P., Devine, J., & Eskey, D.E. (Eds.). (1988). *Interactive approaches to second language reading*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Carroll, G.R. (1972). The battle for better reading. In H.B. Allen & R.N. Campbell (Eds.), *Teaching English as a second language, a book of readings (2nd ed.)*, (pp. 178-184). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Champeau de Lopez, C.L. (1989). Improved reading through writing. *TESL Reporter*, 22(2), 23-26.
- Clarke, M.A. (1979). Reading in Spanish and English: Evidence from adult ESL students. *Language Learning*, 29, 121-150.
- Clarke, M.A. (1980). The short circuit hypothesis of ESL reading--or when language competence interferes with reading performance. *Modern language Journal*, 64, 203-209.
- Cooper, M. (1987). Conference report: 11th world congress in reading. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 4, 71-77.
- Dalle, T. (1988). Reading journals: Solving the problem of input in the ESL composition class. *TESL Reporter*, 21, 23-26.
- Davies, A. (1984). Simple, simplified and simplification: What is authentic? In C.J.. Alderson & A.H.. Urquhart (Eds.), *Reading in a Foreign Language* (pp. 181-95). New York: Longman.
- Davies, A., & Widdowson, H.G. (1974). Reading and writing. In J.P.B. Allen & S.P. Corder (Eds.), *The Edinburgh course in applied linguistics*, 3, Techniques in applied linguistics (pp. 155-201). London: Oxford University Press. .
- Davison, A. (1986). *Readability--The situation today*. (Reading Education Report No. 70.) Champaign, IL: Center for the Study of Reading, University of Illinois.
- Dawes, S. (1979). *Make time for reading. Guidelines for teaching reading skills (RELC Journal Supplement)*, 2, 38-43.
- Devine, J. (1987). General language competence and adult second language reading. In J. Devine, P.L. Carrell, & D.E. Eskey (Eds.), *Research in reading in English as a second language* (pp. 73-86). Washington: TESOL.
- Devine, J. (1988a). A case study of two readers: Models of reading and reading performance. In P. Carrell, J. Devine, & D.E. Eskey (Eds.), *Interactive Approaches to Second Language Reading* (pp. 127-39). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Devine, J. (1988b). The relationship between general language competence and second language reading proficiency: Implications for teaching. In P. Carrell, J. Devine, & D.E. Eskey (Eds.), *Interactive Approaches to Second Language Reading* (pp. 260-77). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Devine, J. (1988c, March). L2 models of reading and reading strategies. Paper presented at TESOL '88 Convention, Chicago.
- Devine, J., Carrell, P.L., & Eskey, D.E. (Eds.). (1987). *Research in reading in English as a second language*. Washington: TESOL.
- Dorkin, D. (1987). Commentary. In J.R. Squire (Ed.), *The dynamics of language learning: Research in reading and English* (pp. 270-272). Urbana, IL: ERIC Clearinghouse on Reading and Communication Skills and the National Conference on Research in English.
- Dubin, F., & Olshtain, E. (1977). *Facilitating language learning*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Dunning, B.D. (1988). Young adult literature as a bridge to academic success. *TESOL Newsletter*, 22(6), 1, 10-11.
- Elley, W.B., & Mangubhai, F. (1983). The impact of reading on second language learning. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 19, 53-67.
- Eskey, D.E. (1973). A model program for teaching advanced reading to students of English as a foreign language. *Language Learning*, 23, 169-184.
- Ferris, D., Kiyochi, E., & Kowal, K. (1988, March). ESL vocabulary acquisition and extensive reading. Paper presented at TESOL '88 Convention, Chicago.
- Field, M.L. (1985). A psycholinguistic model of the Chinese ESL reader. In P. Larson, E.L. Judd, & D.S. Messerschmitt (Eds.), *On TESOL '84: A brave new world for TESOL* (pp. 171-182). Washington, DC: TESOL.
- Fox, G.M. (1989, November). Taking the pain out of reading. Paper presented at JALT '89 Conference, Okayama, Japan.
- Gardner, I.C. (1978). Reading comprehension. In *The teaching of comprehension* (ETIC Occasional Paper) (pp. 65-81). London: The British Council, English Teaching Information Centre.
- Goodman, K.S. (1971). Psycholinguistic universals in the reading process. In P. Pimsleur & T. Quinn (Eds.), *The psychology of second language learning* (pp. 135-142). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Greenwood, J. (1988). *Class readers*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gregg, K.R. (1984). Krashen's monitor and Occam's razor. *Applied Linguistics*, 5, 79-100.
- Gregg, K.R. (1986). Review of Stephen Krashen's *The input hypothesis: Issues and implications*. *TESOL Quarterly*, 20, 116-121.
- Gregg, K.R. (1987, November). Is there a 'Natural Approach'? Paper presented at JALT '87, Tokyo.
- Gregg, K.R. (Forthcoming). Krashen's theory, acquisition theory, and theory.
- Grellet, F. (1981). *Developing reading skills: A practical guide to reading comprehension exercises*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gremmo, M.J.. (1985). *Learning a language--or learning to read?* In P. Riley (Ed.), *Discourse and learning* (pp. 74-90). London: Longman.
- Grove, M.P. (1981). Psycholinguistic theories and ESL reading. In C.W. Twyford, W. Diehl, & I.C. Feathers (Eds.), *Reading English as a second language: Moving from theory*. (Monograph in Language and Reading Studies 4). Bloomington, IN: Indiana University School of Education.
- Haas, C., & Flower, L. (1988). Rhetorical reading strategies and the construction of meaning. *College Composition and Communication*, 39, 167-83.
- Hafiz, F.M., & Tudor, I. (1989). Extensive reading and the development of language skills. *ELT Journal*, 43, 4-13.
- Hafiz, F.M., & Tudor, I. (1990). Graded readers as an input medium in L2 learning. *System*, 18, 31-42.
- Hagge, J. (1987). The process religion and business communication. *The Journal of Business Communication*, 24, 89-120.
- Hamp-Lyons, E. (1983). Developing a course to teach extensive reading skills to university-bound ESL learners. *System*, 11, 303-12.
- Hamp-Lyons, E. (1985). Two approaches to teaching reading: A classroom-based study. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 3, 363-73.
- Hamp-Lyons, E., & Proulx, G. (1982, July). A comparison of two methods of teaching advanced ESL reading. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 227 678.
- Hamrick, J. (1989, March). An independent reading component for the ESL reading class. Paper presented at TESOL '89 Convention, San Antonio, TX.
- Hansen, H.E. (1985). English education in Japanese universities and its social context. In C.B. Wordell (Ed.) *A guide to teaching English in Japan* (pp. 145-170). Tokyo: The Japan Times.
- Harrison, C. (1980). *Readability in the classroom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Hedge, T. (1985). *Using readers in language teaching*. London: Macmillan.
- Henner-Stanchina, C. (1985). From reading to writing acts. In P. Riley (Ed.), *Discourse and learning* (pp. 91-104). London: Longman.
- Hill, D.R. (1983). Description of a programme of extensive reading. Handout, 2 pages.
- Hill, D.R., & Thomas, H.R. (1988). Graded readers (Survey review). *ELT Journal*, 42, 44-52, 124-136.
- Hill, J. (1986). *Teaching literature in the language classroom*. London: Modern English Publications/Macmillan.
- Hinds, J. (1980). Japanese expository prose. *Papers in Linguistics: International Journal of Human Communication*, 13, 117-158.
- Hirvelty A. (1988). Integrating simplified and original texts. *JALT Journal*. 9, 131-51.
- Honeyfield; J. (1977). Simplification. *TESOL Quarterly*, 11, 431-40.
- Horner, D. (1987). Acquisition, Learning and the monitor: A critical look at Krashen. *System*, 15, 339-349.
- Hosenfeld, C., Arnold, V., Kirchofer, J., Laciura, J., & Wilson, L. (1981). Second language reading: A curricular sequence for teaching reading strategies. *Foreign Language Annals*, 14, 415-422.
- Hudson, T. (1988 [1982]). The effects of induced schemata on the 'short circuit' in L2 reading. In P. Carrell, J. Devine, & D.E. Eskey (Eds.), *Interactive approaches to second language reading* (pp. 183-205). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Hyland, I.C. (1990). *Purpose and strategy: Teaching extensive reading skills*. *English Teaching Forum*, 28(2), 14-17, 23.
- Johns, T., & Davies, F. (1983). Text as a vehicle for information: The classroom use of written texts in teaching *Reading in a Foreign Language*. *Reading in a Foreign Language*. 1, 1-19.
- Jolley, J.S. (1985). Metacognition and reading: Theoretical background and implementation strategies for classroom teachers. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 259 301.
- Kalb, G. (1986). Teaching of extensive reading in English instruction at the senior gymnasium level. (Die Didaktik des extensiven Lesens im Englischunterricht der gymnasialen Oberstufe). *Die Neueren Sprachen*, 85, 420-430
- Kelly, L.G. (1969). *25 centuries of language teaching*. Rowley, MA: Newbury House.
- Kitao, K.C., & Shimatani, H. (1988). Jishu-teki na eigo no dokusho shido [Pleasure reading: Setting up a special English section in the library]. *The language Teacher*, 12(2), 47-49.
- Krashen, S.D. (1981). Effective second language acquisition: Insights from research. In J.E. Alatis, H.B. Altman, & P.M. Alatis (Eds.), *The second language search*. In J.E. Alatis, H.B. Altman, & P.M. Alatis (Eds.), *The second language classroom* (pp.97-109). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Krashen, S.D. (1982). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*, Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Krashen, S.D. (1985). *Inquiries and insights*. Hayward; CA: Alemany Press.
- Krashen, S.D. (1988). Do we learn to read by reading? The relationship between free reading and reading ability. In D. Tannen (Ed.), *Linguistics in context: Connecting observation and understanding* (pp.269-298). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Krashen, S.D., & Terrell, T.D. (1983). *The natural approach*, Hayward, CA, The Alemany Press.
- Laufer-Dvorkin, B. (1981). "Intensive" versus "extensive" reading for improving university students' comprehension in English as a foreign language. *Journal of Reading*. 25. 40-43.
- Lautamatti, L. (1978). Developing materials for teaching reading comprehension in a foreign language. In *The teaching of comprehension* (ETIC Occasional Paper) (pp. 92-109). London: The British Council, English Teaching Information Centre.
- Lee, J.F., & Musumeci, D. (1988). On hierarchies of reading skills and text types. *The Modern language Journal*, 72, 173-187.
- Levine, A., & Reves, T. (1985). What can the FL teacher teach the mother tongue reader? *Reading in a Foreign Language*. 3, 329-339.
- Light, T. (1970). The reading-comprehension passage and a comprehensive reading programme. *English Language Teaching*, 24, 120-24
- Lipp, E. (1988, March). Sustained silent reading: a new concept for reading labs. Paper presented at TESOL '88 Convention, Chicago.
- Livingstone, C., Pike, H., Tadman, J., Tunnacliffe, D., & King, J. (1987). *The Longman guide to graded reading*. Harlow: Longman.
- Lupardus, K. (1987, November). Intensive/extensive reading at the advanced level. Paper presented at JALT '87, Tokyo.
- MacLean, M. (1985). Reading in a second/foreign language: A bibliography 1974-1984. *The Canadian Modern language Review/la*

- Malcolm, N. (1977). *Thought and knowledge*, Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Marbe, A. (1979). The fourth book is quite easy. (Strategies and techniques for extensive reading). *Alon Lemorim Leanglit/English Teachers' Journal (Israel)*, 21, 38-42.
- Mason, B. (1987, September). Effects of a self-selecting reading program on the results of the TOEIC. Paper presented at JACET Convention, Kyoto, Japan.
- Mason, B. (1989, November). Failures can be successful with extensive reading programs. Paper presented at JALT '89, Okayama, Japan.
- Mason, B. (Forthcoming). The effects of a self-selecting reading program on the results of the TOEIC.
- Matsumura, M. (Ed.). (1987). *Eigo no Riidingu* [English reading] (2nd ed.). Tokyo: Taishukan Shoten.
- Matthews, D. (1988). *High interest-Easy reading* (5th ed.). Urbana, IL: NCTE.
- Mikulecky, B.S. (1985). Reading skills instruction in ESL. In P. Larson, E.L. Judd, & D.S. Messerschmitt (Eds.), *On TESOL '84. A Brave New World for TESOL* (pp. 261-277). Washington, DC: TESOL.
- Miramontes, O. (1987). Oral reading miscues of Hispanic good and learning disabled students: Implications for second language reading. In S.R. Goldman & H.T. Trueba (Eds.), *Becoming literate in English as a second language* (pp. 127-154). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Morimoto, Y. (1989 November). Can pleasure reading be a real pleasure?, Paper presented at JALT '89, Okayama, Japan.
- Munby, J. (1979). Teaching intensive reading skills. In R. Mackay, B. Barknan, & R.R. Jordan (Eds.), *Reading in a second language* (pp. 142-159). Rowley, MA: Newbury House.
- Murphy, B.M.Z. (1987). Bad books in easy English. *Modern English Teacher*, 14(3), 22-23.
- Nell, V. (1988). *Lost in a book: The psychology of reading for pleasure*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Neustupny, J.V. (1987). *Communicating with the Japanese*. Tokyo: The Japan Times.
- New York Public Library, The. (1989). *Books for the teen age 1989*. New York: The New York Public Library.
- Newmark, L. (1971). A minimal language teaching program. In P. Pimsleur & T. Quinn (Eds.), *The psychology of second language learning* (pp. 11-18). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Norris, W.E. (1975). Advanced reading: goals, techniques, procedures. *English Teaching Forum*, 13, 201-209.
- Nuttall, C. (1982). *Teaching reading skills in a foreign language*. London: Heinemann Educational .
- Olshtain, E. (1976). First steps in extensive reading. *Alon Lemorim Leanglit/ English Teachers' Journal (Israel)*, 16, 39- 41.
- Pakenham, K.J. (1984). Developing expectations for text in adult beginning ESL readers. In J. Handscombe, R.A. Orem, & B.P. Taylor (Eds.), *On TESOL '83: The Question of Control* (pp. 149-161). Washington, DC: TESOL.
- Paulston, C.B, & Bruder, M.N. (1976). *Teaching English as a second language: Techniques and procedures*. Cambridge, MA: Winthrop.
- Perkins, I.C., Brutten, S.R., & Pohlmann, J.T. (1989). First and second language reading comprehension. *RELC Journal*. 20(2), 1-9.
- Petrimoulx, J. (1988, March). Sustained silent reading in an ESL class: A study. Paper presented at TESOL '88 Convention, Chicago.
- Reed, A.J.S. (1985). *Reaching adolescents: The young adult book and the school*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Richards, J.C., & Rodgers, T. (1982). *Method: Approach, design, and procedure*. TESOL Quarterly, 16, 153-168.
- Rivers, W.M. (1981). *Teaching foreign language skills* (2nd ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Robb, T.N., & Susser, B. (1989). Extensive reading vs. skills building in an EFL context. *Reading in a Foreign Language*. 5, 239-251.
- Rohlen, T. (1983). *Japan's high schools*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Roller, C.M. (1988). Transfer of cognitive academic competence and L2 reading in a rural Zimbabwean primary school. *TESOL Quarterly*, 22, 303-318.
- Rosenshine, B.V. (1980). Skill hierarchies in reading comprehension. In R.J. Spiro, B.C. Bruce, & W.F. Frewer (Eds.), *Theoretical issues in reading comprehension* (pp. 535-554). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Ryder, R.J., Graves, B.B., & Graves, M.F. (1989). *Easy reading: Book series and periodicals for less able readers* (2nd ed.). Newark,

DE: International Reading Association.

Saragi, T., Nation, I.S.P., & Meister, G.F. (1978). Vocabulary learning and reading. *System*, 6, 72-78.

Sarig, G. (1987). High-level reading in the first and in the foreign language. In J. Devine P.L. Carrell & D E Eskey (Eds) *Research in reading In English as a second language* (pp. 105-23). Washington: TESOL.

Scott, M., Carioni, L., Zanaffa, M., Bayer, E., & Quintanilha, T. (1984). Using a 'standard exercise' in teaching reading comprehension. *ELT Journal*. 38, 114-20.

Shook, R. (1977). Discourse structure in reading. *TESL Reporter*, 10(2), 1-3,15; 10(3), 6-7,19; 10(4), 10-11, 13-15.

Simensen, A.M. (1987). Adapted readers: How are they adapted? *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 4, 41-57.

Smith, C.B. (1988). Does it help to write about your reading? *Journal of Reading*, 32. 276-277.

Smith, F. (1985). *Reading without nonsense* (2nd ed.). New York: Teachers College Press.

Statman, S. (1987). Obstacles to access: An investigation into the perceptual strategies of the nonnative learner of English, *System* 15, 289-301.

Stevenson, H.W. (1986). Learning to read Japanese. In H. Stevenson, H. Azuma, & K. Hakuta (Eds.), *Child development and education in Japan* (pp. 217-235). New York: W.H. Freeman.

Stoller, F. (1986). Reading lab: Developing low-level reading skills. In F. Dubin, D.E. Eskey, & W. Grabe (Eds.), *Teaching second language reading for academic purposes* (pp. 51-76). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Susser, B., & Robb, T.N. (1989). Extensive homework. *The Language Teacher*. 13(8), 7-9.

Swaffar, J.K. (1985). Reading authentic texts in a foreign language: A cognitive model. *The Modern Language Journal*, 69, 15-34.

Swaffar, J.K. (1988). Readers, texts, and second languages: The interactive processes. *The Modern Language Journal*, 72, 123-149.

Tanaka, C. (1985). A study of the effectiveness of reading instruction at the college level in Japan based on psycholinguistic theory. Doctoral dissertation, University of Kansas, DAI 8608453.

Tangitau, V. (1973). Intensive and extensive reading. *TESL Reporter*, 7(1), 7-9

Taylor, I., & Taylor, M.M. (1983). *The psychology of reading*. New York: Academic Press.

Thompson, C. (1984) Intensive and extensive reading -- a summary, for practicing teachers, of material presented by Damien Tunnacliffe at JALT '83. *The Language Teacher*, 8(4), 21-22.

Thompson, M. (1988, February). Extensive reading--acquiring the reading habit? Presentation given at JALT Kyoto chapter, Kyoto, Japan.

Tunnacliffe, D. (1983, September). The importance of extensive reading in language learning. Presentation at JALT '83, Nagoya, Japan. Review by J. Bamford in *The Language Teacher*, 8(1), 4-5

Tudor, I., & Hafiz, F.M. (1988). Extensive reading as means of input in L2 Learning. Universite Libre de Bruxelles *Rapport d'Activites de l'Institut de Phonetique*, 22 1-27.

Todoi, I., & Hafiz, F.M. (1989). From input to intake: The effect of simplified readers on ESL development *Journal of Reading*, 32, 688-693.

Unger, J.M. (1987). *The fifth generation fallacy*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Van Naerssen, M. (1985). Relaxed reading in ESP. *TESOL Newsletter*, 19(2), 1,6.

Vaughan, J.L., Jr. (1982). Instructional strategies. In A. Berger & H.A. Robinson (Eds.), *Secondary school reading: What research reveals for classroom practice* (pp. 67-84). Urbana, IL: ERIC Clearinghouse on Reading and Communications Skills and the National Conference on Research in English.

Vogel, E.F. (1980). *Japan as number one*. Tokyo: Tuttle.

Wallace, C. (1988). *Learning to read in a multicultural society: The social context of second language literacy*. New York: Prentice Hall.

Weintraub, S. (Ed.). (1988). *Annual summary of investigations relating to reading, July 1, 1986 to June 30, 1987*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Widdowson, H.G. (1978). *Teaching language as communication*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Widdowson, H.G. (1979). *Explorations in applied linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Williams, R. (1986). 'Top ten' principles for teaching reading. *ELT Journal*, 40, 4245.

Yamazaki, J., & Yoshizawa, K. (1989). Reading universals hypothesis and Japanese EFL readers. *JACET Bulletin*, 20, 107-118.

Yorio, CA (1985). The ESL reading class: Reality or unreality? In C.N. Hedley & A.N. Baratta (Eds.), *Contexts of reading* (pp. 151-164). Norwood. NJ: Ablex.

Zvetina, M. (1987). From research to pedagogy: What do L2 reading studies suggest? *Foreign Language Annals*, 20, 233-238.

Keywords: extensive reading, reading rate, reading fluency, automaticity, 1-minute reading probe, t test, C-test. Characterized with the motto "reading gain without reading pain" (Day & Bamford, 1998, p. 121), extensive reading (ER), an approach to second language (L2) reading instruction, aims to make covering large amounts of reading material enjoyable for students. However, research has been limited to junior high schools and tertiary educational institutions, and little attention has been given to it in high schools in EFL environments. Many practitioners in Japan have used ER in their classes, suggesting that they intuitively know that their students. <http://nflrc.hawaii.edu/rfl>. For Palmer, reading extensively has the advantage of being both informative and pleasurable. Extensive reading is an approach to language learning, including foreign language learning, by the means of a large amount of reading. The learners' view and review of unknown words in specific context will allow them to infer the word's meaning, and thus to learn unknown words (Wikipedia.org). Extensive reading is a kind of reading that asks the learners to read large amount of books which are relative easy and understandable for the learners. It also means reading for pleasure, because the learner reads what they want to read or their favourite books. EFL extensive reading instruction: research and procedure. Retrieved from <http://www.cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp/~trobb/susrobb.html>. Continue Reading. This article surveys the literature on extensive reading and establishes a working definition of extensive reading as a language teaching/learning procedure. It explores the main issues in extensive reading, including the role of graded readers and the transfer of L1 reading ability. A model of extensive reading is described, based on Richards and Rodgers' (1982) definition of "procedure." PDF: [jj-12.2-art1.pdf](#).

Silent reading in class Extensive reading should not be incompatible with classroom practice and methodology. There are teachers who set aside a regular fifteen-minute period of silent reading in class. This silent reading has been said to help structural awareness develop, build vocabulary, and to promote confidence in the language. Further reading Susser B & TN Robb (1990) 'EFL Extensive Reading Instruction: Research and Procedure' JALT Journal Vol No.2 <http://www.kyoto-su.ac.jp/~trobb/sussrobb.html> Day RR & J Bamford (1998) 'Extensive Reading in the Second Language Classroom' Cambridge: CUP Barnett, M.A. (1988). Reading through context: How real and perceived strategy use affects L2 comprehension. reading instruction program used in ESL or EFL settings, as an effective means of vocabulary development. The subjects participated. in this study included were 40 upper-intermediate and 40 lower-intermediate learners drawn from a population through a proficiency test to see if ER helps them improve their vocabulary knowledge at the above-stated levels. In addition, extensive reading within language is in line with current principles for second and foreign language pedagogy. Review of L literature. Several studies have been done in the area of extensive reading and vocabulary development. The research convincingly shows that extensive reading increases vocabulary knowledge. It is not surprising that it helps students become better readers. Keywords: Extensive Reading activities, reading motivation, reading proficiency

1. Introduction With Palmer (1969)s first applying the term Extensive Reading (ER), definitions of ER have been mentioned by many of educators. Today, Richard R. Day & Julian Bamford (2003) views Extensive Reading as an approach to the teaching and learning of second language reading in which learners read large quantities of books and other materials that are well within their linguistic competence. On the other hand, Stephen Krashen (2004) called ER pleasure reading. Masaryk University (2007) 2. Bernard Susser & Thomas N. Robb: EFL Extensive Reading Instruction: Research and Procedure.